

General questions

1. Overall, do you broadly agree with the proposals set out in this document?

No

2. If not, please say why not.

The response to the questions that follow should be considered in the light of the general comment below.

The proposed changes to the UoR assessment is of concern in that they appear to represent a considerable widening of its scope compared to the existing approach of focusing on financial matters and value for money issues to 'corporate health' issues more akin to the corporate assessment required for CPA. This does not seem to sit well with the recent emphasis on the introduction of more 'light touch' inspections.

If we are to see a widening of the scope along the lines outlined there is a need for a proportionate approach to future inspection based on an assessment of risk or failure

3. Do you agree with the factors that have guided the development of our new approach?

Yes, but see comment below.

4. If not, please say why not and what other factors should guide the development.

The value for money profile tool although providing a useful high level comparison of costs, it is sometimes not sufficiently detailed to be able to readily understand the underlying reasons for variations in cost without significant further work needing to be undertaken. Further expansion of the value for money profile tool to provide more detailed analysis would be helpful.

5. Do you agree that each organisation should receive a single scored judgement on value for money in the use of resources, which will be based upon the scores of three themes – managing money, managing the business and managing other resources?

Yes

6. If not, please say why not.
7. Do you agree with the proposed scoring rules?

Yes

8. If not, please say why not.
9. Do you agree with the proposal to publish scores for each KLOE?

Yes

10. If not, please say why not.

Questions on the key lines of enquiry for each Theme

Managing money

11. Are the KLOE and the evidence bullet points appropriate for this theme?

- 1.1 **Yes**
- 1.2 **Yes**
- 1.3 **Yes**
- 1.4 **Yes**
- 1.5 **Yes**

12. If not, please say why not and what alternatives you would suggest.

13. Are the descriptors appropriate for each KLOE?

- 1.1 **Yes**
- 1.2 **Yes**

- 1.3 **Yes**
- 1.4 **Yes**
- 1.5 **Yes**

14. If not, please say why not and what alternatives you would suggest.

Managing the business

15. Are the KLOE and the evidence bullet points appropriate for this theme?

- 2.1 **Yes**
- 2.2 **Yes**
- 2.3 **Yes**
- 2.4 **Yes**
- 2.5 **Yes**

16. If not, please say why not and what alternatives you would suggest.

17. Are the descriptors appropriate for each KLOE?

- 2.1 **Yes**
- 2.2 **Yes**
- 2.3 **Yes**
- 2.4 **Yes**
- 2.5 **Yes**

18. If not, please say why not and what alternatives you would suggest.

Managing other resources

19. Are the KLOE and the evidence bullet points appropriate for this theme?

- 3.1 **Yes**
- 3.2 **Yes**
- 3.3 **Yes**

20. If not, please say why not and what alternatives you would suggest.

21. Are the descriptors appropriate for each KLOE?

- 3.1 **Yes**
- 3.2 **Yes**

3.3 **Yes**

22. If not, please say why not and what alternatives you would suggest.